Probability theory can be fun and simple with dependent types (Yet another formal theory of probabilities in Coq)

Reynald Affeldt, Alessandro Bruni, Pierre Roux, Takafumi Saikawa

30th International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs 10 ‑ 14 June 2024

KORKARA SERKER OQO

An overview of existing formalizations of probabilities in Coq¹

InfoTheo (2009–ongoing)

• Formalizes *finite probabilities*; used for information theory [JAR 2014], error-correcting codes [JAR 2020], robust statistics [ITP 2024]

coq-proba [\[Tassarotti, 2023](#page--1-0)]

• Used to verify a compiler for probabilistic programming languages [PLDI 2023]

FormalML [\[The FormalML development team, 2023](#page--1-1)]

• Contains *advanced theorems* in probability theory, e.g., a stochastic approximation theorem [ITP 2022]

¹IsABELLE/HOL and MATHLIB have extensive libraries for probabilities, this talk f[oc](#page-0-0)us[es](#page-2-0) [o](#page-0-0)[n](#page-1-0) [C](#page-2-0)[oq](#page-0-0)

A proof engineering effort

KORK E KERKER KENSK

Applications of MathComp-Analysis to probabilities?

MathComp-Analysis timeline

- *•* Asymptotic reasoning + Landau notations → differentiability [JFR 2018]
- Lebesgue integral [JAR 2023]
- *•* Fundamental theorem of calculus [\[Affeldt and Stone, 2024](#page--1-2)]
- Probability theory (2023–ongoing)

Applications to probabilities

- *•* Verified probabilistic programming languages [CPP 2023, APLAS 2023]
- Verified worst-case failure probability of real-time systems [\[Markovic et al., 2023](#page--1-3)]

Other planned applications

- *•* Verified robust statistics [PPDP 2021, ITP 2024]
- *•* Verified machine learning [Ślusarz et al., ITP 2024]

An example: Bernoulli sampling [\[Rajani, 2019\]](#page--1-4)

Bernoulli sampling

Given *n* independent 0-1 random variables X_i , $p \in (0,1]$, $\theta \in (0,p)$, $\delta \in (0,1]$ with $Pr(X_i = 1) = p, X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, and $\bar{X} = \frac{X}{n}$ $\frac{\lambda}{n},$ then $Pr(|\bar{X} - p| \le \theta) \ge 1 - \delta$ when $n \ge \frac{3}{\theta^2}$ $\frac{3}{\theta^2}$ ln($\frac{2}{\delta}$ *δ*).

Simple and general: inherit from measure theory with Hierarchy Builder

Definition (Measure) A measure μ : $\mathcal{P}(T) \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies: 1. $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ (measure-0) 2. $0 \leq \mu(A)$ for any set *A* (non-negativity) 3. $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ $(\sigma$ -additivity)

Measure SFiniteMeasure SigmaFiniteContent FinNumFun SigmaFiniteMeasure AdditiveCharge FiniteMeasure Charge SubProbability Probability

KORKA SERKER DE VOLCH

Contant

Simple and general: inherit from measure theory with Hierarchy Builder

KORK E KERKER KENSK

Definition (Probability measure)

A probability measure additionally implements the following interface:

```
HB.factory Record Measure isProbability d (T : measurableType d)
    (R : realType) (P : set T -> \bar R) of isMeasure _ _ P :=
  { probability_setT : P setT = 1\%E }.
```
…and fun: random variables and expectations

KORKARRASHASH SAGA

Context d (T : measurableType d) (R : realType) (P : probability T R).

Definition (Random variables)

A random variable is neither random, nor a variable. It's a measurable function from T to R. Definition random variable := ${mfun} T >> R$. Notation "{ 'RV' P >-> R }" := (@random_variable __ R P).

…and fun: random variables and expectations

Context d $(T : measurableType d)$ $(R : realType)$ $(P : probability T R)$.

Definition (Random variables)

A random variable is neither random, nor a variable. It's a measurable function from T to R. Definition random variable := ${mfun} T >> R$. Notation "{ 'RV' P >-> R }" := (@random_variable __ R P).

Definition (Expectation)

Expectation of *X* with the measure *P* can be expressed as the Lebesgue integral $\int X dP$: Definition expectation (X : {RV P >-> R}) := \int[P] w (X w)%:E.

Recovering discreteness

Discrete (random) variables

Discrete random variables additionally implement the following interface:

HB.mixin Record MeasurableFun_isDiscrete d (T : measurableType d) (R : realType)

 $(X : T \rightarrow R)$ of @MeasurableFun d T R X := { countable_range : countable (range X) }.

Recovering discreteness

Discrete (random) variables

Discrete random variables additionally implement the following interface:

```
HB.mixin Record MeasurableFun_isDiscrete d (T : measurableType d) (R : realType)
  (X : T \rightarrow R) of @MeasurableFun d T R X := { countable_range : countable (range X) }.
```
Discrete sums

When $X : \{dRV P \ \ge \ \ge R\}$ (the type of discrete random variables), we build a function a_k to enumerate its values, and c_k to enumerate the probabilities, so that the distribution can be written as $\sum_{k} c_k \delta_{a_k}$:

```
Lemma distribution_dRV A : measurable A \rightarrowdistribution P X A = \sum_(k <oo) a X k * \d_(c X k) A.
```
(More) formal adventures in convex spaces

[Saikawa et al., CICM 2020] shows that probability theory benefits from a theory of *convex spaces*. We are porting it to MathComp-Analysis to define convex functions:


```
Convex function
Definition convex function (R : realType) (D : set R) (f : R \rightarrow R) :=
  forall t : {i01 R}, {in D &, forall (x, y : R), f (x < | t | > y) \le f x < | t | > f y}.
```
Exponentials are convex

Lemma convex_expR : convex_function setT expR. Lemma convex_powR p : 1 <= p -> convex_function $[0, +\infty[$ (fun x : R => powR x p).

Moments: exponential expectations

Definition mmt_gen_fun (X : {RV P >-> R}) (t : R) := 'E_P[expR \o t \o* X].

KORK E KERKER KENSK

Applications of convexity: Hölder and Minkowski and *L^p* -spaces

We are building a theory of L_p -spaces. For that purpose we prove Hölder's and Minkowski's inequalities, which are also generally applicable to probabilities:

Hölder

Lemma hoelder (f $g : T \rightarrow R$) (p q : R) : measurable_fun setT f \rightarrow measurable_fun setT $g \rightarrow$ $0 \leq p \Rightarrow 0 \leq q \Rightarrow p^- - 1 + q^- - 1 = 1$ (* Hoelder conjugates *) -> 'N_1 [f * g] <= 'N_p [f] * 'N_q [g].

KORKARRASHASH SAGA

(Here \rightarrow and \rightarrow are pointwise addition and multiplication, and N p [f] is the p-norm of f)

Applications of convexity: Hölder and Minkowski and *L^p* -spaces

We are building a theory of L_p -spaces. For that purpose we prove Hölder's and Minkowski's inequalities, which are also generally applicable to probabilities:

Hölder

Lemma hoelder (f $g : T \rightarrow R$) (p q : R) : measurable_fun setT f \rightarrow measurable_fun setT $g \rightarrow$ $0 \leq p \Rightarrow 0 \leq q \Rightarrow p^- - 1 + q^- - 1 = 1$ (* Hoelder conjugates *) -> 'N_1 [f * g] <= 'N_p [f] * 'N_q [g].

KORKARRASHASH SAGA

Minkowski

```
Lemma minkowski f g p : measurable_fun setT f \rightarrow measurable_fun setT g \rightarrow 1 <= p \rightarrow'N_p%:E[f \+ g] <= 'N_p%:E[f] + 'N_p%:E[g].
```
(Here \rightarrow and \rightarrow are pointwise addition and multiplication, and N p [f] is the p-norm of f)

More useful lemmas: Markov, Chernoff, Chebyshev and Cantelli

```
Lemma markov (X : {RV P \gt\gt> > R}) (f : R \to R) (eps : R) : (0 <eps) \tomeasurable fun [set: R] f \rightarrow (forall r, 0 \leq r -> 0 \leq f r) ->
     \{\text{in Num.} \text{nneg } \&\,,\, \{\text{homo f} : x y / x \leq y\}\} \rightarrow(f eps)%:E * P [set x | eps%:E <= `| (X \t x)%:E | ] <=
     'E_P[f \o (fun x \Rightarrow `| x |) \o X].
Lemma chernoff (X : {RV P \gt\to R}) (r a : R) : (0 < r) \toP [set x | X x >= a] <= mmt_gen_fun X r * (expR (- (r * a)))%:E.
Lemma chebyshev (X : \{RV P \} \rightarrow R) (eps : R) : (0 < eps) \rightarrowP [set x | (eps \leq \geq \geq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \leq \geq \leq Lemma cantelli (X : \{RV P \} \rightarrow R) (lambda : R) :
     P.-integrable setT (EFin \o X) -> P.-integrable setT (EFin \o (X \rightharpoonup 2)) ->
     (0 <lambda) \rightarrowP [set x | lambda%:E <= (X \times X)%:E - 'E_P[X]] <=
     (fine 'V_P[X] / (fine 'V_P[X] + lambda^2))%:E.
```
YO A GRANGEMENT BUDGE

Our experiment (WIP): Bernoulli sampling[[Rajani, 2019](#page--1-4)]

Theorem

Given *n* independent 0-1 random variables X_i , $p \in (0,1]$, $\theta \in (0,p)$, $\delta \in (0,1]$ with $Pr(X_i = 1) = p, X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$, and $\bar{X} = \frac{X}{n}$ $\frac{\lambda}{n},$ then $Pr(|\bar{X} - p| \le \theta) \ge 1 - \delta$ when $n \ge \frac{3}{\theta^2}$ $\frac{3}{\theta^2}$ ln($\frac{2}{\delta}$ *δ*).

becomes:

Theorem sampling (X : seq {RV P >-> R}) (theta delta p : R) : let n := size X in let X' x := ((\sum_(Xi in X) Xi) x) / n%:R in is_bernoulli_trial X n -> 0 < p <= 1 -> 0 < delta <= 1 -> 0 < theta < p -> 0 < n -> 3 / theta^+2 * ln(2 / delta) <= n%:R -> P [set i | `| X' i - p | <= theta] >= 1 - delta%:E.

Conclusions

- We are generalizing Infotheo theories by porting them to MathComp-Analysis (future work: conditional probabilities, information theory, etc.)
- We are working on the verification of probabilistic programs by equational reasoning

4 ロ ト 4 伊 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト - ヨ - 12 9 9 0 0

- *•* We aim to have a rich and general library that can be reused
- We are looking for contributors!